

## Ferrybridge Community Liaison Group Meeting

<b>Date:</b>	17 <sup>th</sup> October 2018	<b>Location:</b>	'Ian Marchant' Pavilion, Ferrybridge 'C' Power Station
<b>Date issued:</b>		<b>Recorded by:</b>	Jade Fearon

### Attendees:

	<b>Apologies:</b>
Jade Fearon, SSE (JF)	
Nick Hinde, SSE (NH)	David Nicklin, Byram PC
Rhonda Fleetwood, BDR (RF)	David Davies, Byram PC
Richard Lowe, Aecom (RL)	Rita Stephenson, Fairburn PC
Jim Lawrie, SSE (JL)	David York, Ballast Phoenix Ltd
Andrew Cable, Osgoldcross (AC)	Ian Foster, Environment Agency
Joanna Holland, Ballast Phoenix (JH)	Graham Stokes, Knottingley
Chris Gaughan, Environment Agency (CG)	Miles Beckett, SSE (MB)
Roy Wilson, representing Nigel Adams MP (RW)	Ray Newton, Monk Fryston and Hillam Community Association (RN)
Harry Ellis, WMDC (HE)	Chris Pearson
Diana Adamson, Selby DC (DA)	Glenn Burton, Knottingley (GB)
Councillor John Mackman, Selby (JM)	Don Simpson, AIRE/ Brotherton PC (DS)
Jennifer Mitchell, Byram Cum Sutton PC (JM)	
Keith Shenton, Pollards Fields resident (KS)	
Ben Crossland, Monk Fryston and Hillam Community Association (BC)	
Bob Johnson, Keltbray (BJ)	
Bobby Ellis, Keltbray (BE)	
Jim Kerr, SSE (JK)	
Rita Stephenson, Fairburn PC (RS)	

### Contact Details

Jade Fearon (Community Liaison Manager) 01724 788236/ 07854 313526 (Monday to Thursday 08:30 – 17:00)

### Purpose of Meeting

To inform the group of the status of the Ferrybridge C power station, the Etex gypsum facility, the operations of the

Ferrybridge Multifuel 1 plant, the construction of the Multifuel 2 power station and the development of the Ferrybridge D CCGT project.

## Meeting Notes

### Item

#### 1 Introductions and Safety Moment

NH welcomed the group and gave a safety moment regarding a fallen tree on site.

#### 2 Review of previous minutes

Previous action for LS to find out if there had been any issues with piling on the new Etex building. LS reported that piling on the project had been completed and no complaints had been received during the construction.

#### 3 Project Presentations

An update was given for each project as per the slide pack

#### 4 Matters Arising

##### Ferrybridge D Development

JM questioned if all three proposed gas pipeline routes in relation to the Ferrybridge D development project could be challenged during the examination process. RL explained that only one pipeline route will be submitted as part of the application and this will be narrowed to a corridor of 100m in width.

RW asked if assessments on cooling towers were now complete. NH explained that initial assessments are complete but further detailed studies are ongoing.

JM asked if the two main stacks at Ferrybridge would be removed as part of the demolition process. NH confirmed that they will be demolished as part of the project.

##### FM1

JM asked if all current fuel deliveries to FM1 are by road. CD confirmed this to be the case and explained that liaison with fuel suppliers is ongoing to assess transport methods to site.

RW asked is the plant is able to take NHS waste. CG explained that the plant is not permitted to do so.

RW requested information on the air quality monitoring that takes place RL explained that monitoring took place 1 year prior to commissioning of the plant and for 2 years following commissioning.

##### Ballast Pheonix – IBA Processing Facility – Update

**JH explained that planning permission and environmental permit for the project has now been granted. Pre-commencement conditions are currently being discharged. Clugstons have been appointed as the main contractor for the project. Main construction work expected to commence 19<sup>th</sup> November and will follow a 42 week programme**

RW asked if the planning permission gave a limit to the amount of material that can be stored on site?

JH explained that the throughput per annum for the plant will be limited to 320,000 tonnes. CG explained that the permit issued to the plant is a waste permit and does not identify specific limits but an overall storage capacity is included.

### Ferrybridge C Demolition

JM asked if there was a possibility to re-use the pipe bridge. BE explained that re-use of the bridge would not be possible due to its design and span.

JM asked if the internal structures would be removed prior to demolition. BJ confirmed this to be the case.

JM asked how many vehicle movements would be associated with the demolition project. BE explained that at peak times there would be up to 35 per day and that a highways traffic assesment has identified that this figure represents a 2% increase in traffic. Site working hours will be limited to 10 hours per day.

JM asked for an idea of the timescale for completion of the project. BJ responded to explain that current timescales were approximately 2.5 years.

CG explained that any issues relating to noise/dust/light need to be directed to the local authrity as the regulatory body.

## 5 AOB

## 6 Date and time of next meeting

17<sup>th</sup> April 2019

17<sup>th</sup> July 2019