

Ferrybridge Community Liaison Group Meeting

Date:	18 th April 2018	Location:	'Ian Marchant' Pavilion, Ferrybridge 'C' Power Station
Date issued:		Recorded by:	Jade Fearon

Attendees:

	Apologies:
Jade Fearon, SSE (JF)	
Nick Hinde, SSE (NH)	David Nicklin, Byram PC
Alex Shenton, HZI (AS)	David Davies, Byram PC
Richard Lowe, Aecom (RL)	Rita Stephenson, Fairburn PC
Jim Lawrie, SSE (JL)	David York, Ballast Phoenix Ltd
Lyndon Sutcliffe, Etex (LS)	Ian Foster, Environment Agency
Joanna Holland, Ballast Phoenix (JH)	Graham Stokes, Knottingley
Chris Gaughan, Environment Agency (CG)	
Roy Wilson, representing Nigel Adams MP (RW)	
Harry Ellis, WMDC (HE)	
Jackie Dennis, Oakhill Park RA (JD)	
Miles Beckett, SSE (MB)	
Jennifer Mitchell, Byram Cum Sutton PC (JM)	
Keith Shenton, Pollards Fields resident (KS)	
Rhonda Fleetwood, BDR (RF)	
Glenn Burton, Knottingley (GB)	
Colin Drew, MEL (CD)	
Councillor John Mackman, Selby (JM)	
Steve Davis, FM2 (SD)	
Don Simpson, AIRE/ Brotherton PC (DS)	
Diana Adamson, Selby DC (DA)	
Ray Newton, Monk Fryston and Hillam Community Association (RN)	
Andrew Cable, Osgoldcross (AC)	

Contact Details

Jade Fearon (Community Liaison Manager) 01724 788236/ 07854 313526 (Monday to Thursday 08:30 – 17:00)

Purpose of Meeting

To inform the group of the status of the Ferrybridge C power station, the Etex gypsum facility, the operations of the

Ferrybridge Multifuel 1 plant, the construction of the Multifuel 2 power station and the development of the Ferrybridge D CCGT project.

Meeting Notes

Item

1 Introductions and Safety Moment

NH welcomed the group and gave a safety moment based around slips, trips and falls.

2 Review of previous minutes

Previous action for LS to find out if there had been any issues with piling on the new Etex building. LS reported that piling on the project had been completed and no complaints had been received during the construction.

3 Ferrybridge D Project

JL presented the project update from slides.

JM asked if the Ferrybridge D project would proceed into construction if it wasn't able to secure a contract in the capacity market auction. JL explained that this would be dependent upon the business case for the project at the time.

RW asked how long the cooling towers would remain in place if construction of Ferrybridge D did not commence. NH explained that SSE are under no obligation to remove/demolish any of the Ferrybridge C infrastructure. The business case is based around optionality for the site.

RN commented that he understood that the use of the cooling towers is dependent upon extraction of water from the river and wanted to understand if a new application would need to be made for Ferrybridge D.

It was explained that the licence had been maintained by SSE in relation to water extraction and that the water capacity required for Ferrybridge D is likely to be far less than that needed for the operational Ferrybridge C station.

RN asked if there was any potential for members of the public to challenge the licence. RL explained that the EA make decisions on permitting and are responsible for monitoring.

RN noted that he felt that the timings arranged for the stage one public consultation events were not adequate for those that worked during the week. JF noted that there had been an event held on a Saturday.

RN asked how many permanent jobs would be created at the proposed Ferrybridge D plant. JL explained that it is expected that up to 50 full time skilled jobs would be created. RW asked what measures are in place to combat greenhouse gasses. JL explained that the proposed plant would

operate within its permit from the Environment Agency and that biodiversity enhancement would be considered as part of the development.

4 FM1 Update

CD provided an update on the FM1 operational plant (see slide pack)

DS noted that initial consultation on the FM1 development described the fuel for the FM1 facility as pelletised and asked if the amount of plastic being used as fuel is different to that originally proposed?

CD explained that the FM1 plant runs in line with its environmental permit at all times and continual monitoring takes place. CG added that all fuel used in the plant (pelletised or otherwise) is monitored by the same emissions permit. Pollutants are monitored on an ongoing basis and calibration of monitoring equipment is carried out by an independent company.

JM asked if monitoring had been continued. RL explained that monitoring is continuous with reporting undertaken on a quarterly basis as well as an annual report.

JM asked for an explanation around the fact that the plume from the station is more visible at certain times. CD explained that the vapour from the plant appears darker under certain atmospheric conditions. CD added that both lines on the plant have filter systems fitted which have no bypass.

5 FM2 Update

AS provided an update on construction activities at the site (see slide pack)

6 Ballast Phoenix IBA Plant Update

JH informed the group that both the planning application and the Environmental Permit have both been submitted. No objections have been received to the planning application.

7 ETEX Update

LS provided an update to the group (see slide pack)

8 Ferrybridge C Update

MB gave the group an update of current activities at the site (see slide pack)

10 AOB

None

11 Date and time of next meeting

The next CLG meeting will take place on Wednesday 18th July 2018 at 10.30am at the Ferrybridge site. The schedule for future meetings is as follows

Wednesday 17th October 2018

Wednesday 16th January 2019

These dates are subject to change.

12 Actions

JF to issue latest air quality monitoring report to the group once available