

CONTENTS

NTS

| | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1-1 |
| 1.1 | TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THIS ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT | 1-1 |
| 1.2 | REPORT CONTENT AND STRUCTURE | 1-5 |
| 1.3 | COMPARISON BETWEEN THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT | 1-7 |
| 2 | THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT | 2-1 |
| 2.1 | OVERVIEW | 2-1 |
| 2.2 | PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION BOUNDARY | 2-1 |
| 2.3 | DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT | 2-3 |
| 2.4 | CONSTRUCTION PHASE ACTIVITIES | 2-12 |
| 2.5 | OPERATION | 2-18 |
| 2.6 | DECOMMISSIONING | 2-20 |
| 2.7 | ALTERNATIVES | 2-20 |
| 2.8 | POSSIBLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT | 2-22 |
| 3 | PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT RELEVANT TO THE PROJECT | 3-1 |
| 3.1 | INTRODUCTION | 3-1 |
| 3.2 | REGULATORY CONTEXT FOR THE SECTION 36C VARIATION APPLICATION | 3-1 |
| 3.3 | LEGISLATIVE CHANGES BETWEEN THE APPLICATION FOR THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT | 3-2 |
| 3.4 | ENERGY POLICY | 3-9 |
| 3.5 | NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK | 3-23 |
| 3.6 | NATIONAL PLANNING PRACTICE GUIDANCE | 3-27 |
| 3.7 | DEVELOPMENT PLAN | ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.3-28 |
| 4 | APPROACH TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 4-1 |
| 4.1 | INTRODUCTION | 4-1 |
| 4.2 | DESCRIPTION OF THE BASELINE ENVIRONMENT | 4-2 |
| 4.3 | DEFINITION OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT | 4-3 |
| 4.4 | IDENTIFICATION OF EFFECTS | 4-6 |
| 4.5 | ASSESSMENT OF SIGNIFICANCE | 4-6 |
| 4.6 | MITIGATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS | 4-8 |
| 4.7 | REPORTING RESIDUAL EFFECTS | 4-9 |
| 4.8 | INFORMATION TO INFORM A HABITATS REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT | 4-10 |
| 4.9 | CONSULTATION | 4-10 |
| 4.10 | COMPARISON OF EFFECTS BETWEEN THE CONSENTED AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT | 4-11 |
| 5 | SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 5-1 |
| 5.1 | INTRODUCTION | 5-1 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| 5.2 | <i>TECHNICAL SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</i> | 5-1 |
| 5.3 | <i>SPATIAL SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</i> | 5-3 |
| 5.4 | <i>TEMPORAL SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT</i> | 5-4 |
| 5.5 | <i>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</i> | 5-4 |
| 5.6 | <i>INDIRECT EFFECTS</i> | 5-9 |
| 6 | <i>LAND AND WATER</i> | 6-1 |
| 6.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 6-1 |
| 6.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 6-7 |
| 6.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 6-14 |
| 6.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL EFFECTS</i> | 6-41 |
| 6.5 | <i>UNCERTAINTY AND KEY ASSUMPTIONS</i> | 6-65 |
| 6.6 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 6-65 |
| 6.7 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 6-71 |
| 6.8 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 6-72 |
| 7 | <i>ECOLOGY AND NATURE CONSERVATION</i> | 7-1 |
| 7.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 7-1 |
| 7.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 7-12 |
| 7.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 7-15 |
| 7.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 7-28 |
| 7.5 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 7-47 |
| 7.6 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 7-49 |
| 8 | <i>NOISE AND VIBRATION</i> | 8-1 |
| 8.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 8-1 |
| 8.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 8-9 |
| 8.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 8-12 |
| 8.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 8-15 |
| 8.5 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 8-24 |
| 8.6 | <i>CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS</i> | 8-25 |
| 8.7 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN CONSENTED SCHEME AND PROPOSED SCHEME</i> | 8-26 |
| 9 | <i>AIR QUALITY</i> | 9-1 |
| 9.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 9-1 |
| 9.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 9-11 |
| 9.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS AND RECEPTORS</i> | 9-21 |
| 9.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF IMPACTS AND EFFECTS</i> | 9-28 |
| 9.5 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 9-39 |
| 9.6 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 9-39 |
| 9.7 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 9-40 |
| 10 | <i>CULTURAL HERITAGE</i> | 10-1 |
| 10.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 10-1 |
| 10.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 10-7 |

| | | |
|-------------|---|--------------|
| 10.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 10-10 |
| 10.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 10-27 |
| 10.5 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 10-29 |
| 10.6 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 10-29 |
| 10.7 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 10-29 |
| 11 | <i>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</i> | 11-1 |
| 11.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 11-1 |
| 11.2 | <i>APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY</i> | 11-5 |
| 11.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 11-12 |
| 11.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 11-22 |
| 11.5 | <i>MITIGATION MEASURES</i> | 11-31 |
| 11.6 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 11-31 |
| 11.7 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 11-33 |
| 12 | <i>SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</i> | 12-1 |
| 12.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 12-1 |
| 12.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY AND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA</i> | 12-3 |
| 12.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 12-12 |
| 12.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 12-25 |
| 12.5 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 12-31 |
| 12.6 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 12-32 |
| 12.7 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 12-33 |
| 13 | <i>LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL</i> | 13-1 |
| 13.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 13-1 |
| 13.2 | <i>ASSESSMENT METHODOLOGY</i> | 13-6 |
| 13.3 | <i>BASELINE CONDITIONS</i> | 13-12 |
| 13.4 | <i>ASSESSMENT OF EFFECTS</i> | 13-24 |
| 13.5 | <i>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</i> | 13-71 |
| 13.6 | <i>MITIGATION</i> | 13-72 |
| 13.7 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 13-73 |
| 13.8 | <i>COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 13-73 |
| 14 | <i>SUMMARY OF CUMULATIVE AND INDIRECT EFFECTS</i> | 14-1 |
| 14.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 14-1 |
| 14.2 | <i>CUMULATIVE EFFECTS</i> | 14-3 |
| 14.3 | <i>INDIRECT EFFECTS</i> | 14-8 |
| 15 | <i>MITIGATION SUMMARY AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT</i> | 15-1 |
| 15.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 15-1 |
| 15.2 | <i>MITIGATION SUMMARY</i> | 15-1 |
| 15.3 | <i>MITIGATION DELIVERY</i> | 15-1 |

| | | |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| 16 | <i>SUMMARY OF COMPARISON BETWEEN THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS OF THE CONSENTED DEVELOPMENT AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT</i> | 16-1 |
| 16.1 | <i>INTRODUCTION</i> | 16-1 |
| 16.2 | <i>LAND AND WATER</i> | 16-1 |
| 16.3 | <i>ECOLOGY</i> | 16-2 |
| 16.4 | <i>NOISE</i> | 16-3 |
| 16.5 | <i>AIR QUALITY</i> | 16-4 |
| 16.6 | <i>CULTURAL HERITAGE</i> | 16-4 |
| 16.7 | <i>TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORT</i> | 16-5 |
| 16.8 | <i>SOCIO-ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS</i> | 16-6 |
| 16.9 | <i>LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL</i> | 16-6 |
| 16.10 | <i>CONCLUSIONS</i> | 16-7 |